

NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION

No. 95,453

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

In the Matter of the Marriage of

RYEKEL HUDSON,  
*Appellee,*

and

DANIEL HUDSON,  
*Appellant.*

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appeal from Shawnee District Court; JEAN SCHMIDT, judge. Opinion filed  
September 15, 2006. Affirmed.

*Rene M. Netherton*, of Topeka, for appellant.

*Nancy E. Freund*, of Murphy & Freund, of Topeka, for appellee.

Before HILL, P.J., ELLIOTT and GREEN, JJ.

*Per Curiam:* In this divorce action, Daniel Hudson appeals from the trial court's award of spousal maintenance and distribution of property. On appeal, Daniel contends that the trial court abused its discretion in awarding monthly spousal maintenance of \$425 per month for 48 months to his former wife, Rykel Hudson. In addition, Daniel alleges that the trial court abused its discretion in distributing the parties' property. Nevertheless, we have thoroughly reviewed the record on appeal and the briefs of the parties and conclude that there was no abuse of discretion in the trial court's decision. Moreover, the trial court has written a thorough memorandum decision that adequately explains its ruling.

Affirmed under Supreme Court Rule 7.042(e) and (f) (2005 Kan. Ct. R. Annot. 53).